

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/668,348	09/25/2000	Masaki Nakano	040356/0316	1908	
7	7590 12/03/2001				
Richard L Schwaab Foley & Lardner Washington Harbour 3000 K Street NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20007-5109			EXAMINER		
			LAM, THANH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/668,348

Nakano

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit



		Thanh Lam	2834			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence addre	ess		
	or Reply PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	H(S) FROM			
afte - If the p be o	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communit period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimur	n of thirty (30) da	ys will		
com - Failure - Any re earr	nmunication.  to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, biply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y statute, cause the application to bec	ome ABANDONE	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
			<del></del>			
		tion is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\  ho e$			e merits is		
	on of Claims					
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>	is/are	e pending in the	application.		
4a	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fr	om consideration.		
5) 🗆 (	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 (	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s)		is/are objected	to.		
8) 🗌 (	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.		
<b>A</b> pplicati	on Papers					
9) 💢 🧵	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) 💢	The drawing(s) filed on <u>Sep 25, 2000</u> is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.				
11) 🗆 🦪	1) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.					
12) 🗆 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
13) 🗓 🔏	ander 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign $p$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).			
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bures the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National S	itage		
p-reserved.	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		e).	i		
Attachmer		,				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s),			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) 💢 Info	rmetion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4	20) Other:				

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "a magnetic resistance between adjacent core units" in lines 5-6 of claim 3, the "a magnetic resistance between the first core and the second core of the same core unit" in lines 6-7 of claim 3, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

## Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner. For example, the following items are not understood: on page 11, the 4th paragraph, it is not clearly described **where?** (Exact locations) are the **magnetic resistances** formed in order to be compare from one to another, furthermore, the drawings also do not show those features that have been claimed in claim 3.

Art Unit: 2834

Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 3-6,9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 3, lines 5-7, the phase "a magnetic resistance between **adjacent core units** is set to be greater than a magnetic resistance between the first core and the second core **of the same core unit**" cannot be understood because the magnetic resistance positions cannot be pointed out where they formed in order to be compare from one to another. Claims 4-6,9-10 are rejected as dependent on claim 3.

Art Unit: 2834

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-2, 7-8, 11, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koide et al. (Pn. 5,936,312) in view of Kolomeitsev (pn. 5,668,430).

Koide et al. disclose a motor/generator comprising: a first rotation shaft (156); a first rotor (132) rotating with the first rotation shaft and having a first number of magnetic poles (135) that form a first magnetic field; a second rotation shaft (126) rotating relative to the first rotation shaft and supported co-axially with the first rotation shaft; a second rotor (142) rotating with the second rotation shaft and having a second number of magnetic poles (145) that form a second magnetic field, the first rotor and the second rotor being disposed in series along the first rotation shaft; a stator (133) provided with coils (134) that generate a first rotating magnetic field in synchronism with the first magnetic field by application of a first alternating current, and generate a second rotating magnetic field in synchronism with the second magnetic field by application of a second alternating current; and a current control device (180) that supplies a composite current comprising the first alternating current and the second alternating current to the coils. However, Koide et al. do not disclose the first number of magnetic poles of first rotor differ from the second number of magnetic poles of the second rotor.

Application/Control Number: 09668348

Art Unit: 2834

Kolomeitsev discloses a first number (32, fig. 2) of magnetic poles of the first rotor differ from a second number (32, fig. 3) of magnetic poles of the second rotor (col. 4, lines 25-27).

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the first and second rotors of Koide et al. with the first number of magnetic poles of the first rotor differ from the second number of magnetic poles of the second rotor as taught by Kolomeitsev (col. 4, lines 25-27).

Regarding claim 2, Koide et al. disclose the stator is disposed facing an outer periphery of the first rotor and the second rotor.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Koide et al. disclose each of the coils is wound on the first and second core of each core unit.

Regarding claim 11, Kolomeitsev discloses the stator comprises a plurality of cores (24a-24b) disposed adjacent to one another, each core is provided with an inner peripheral part and an outer peripheral part, the outer peripheral part being in contact with an outer peripheral part of an adjacent core, the inner peripheral part projecting inward, and each of the coils (22) being wound on the inner peripheral part.

Regarding claim 15, Koide et al. disclose the first rotation shaft (56) penetrates the second rotation shaft (22), and the second rotation shaft is supported by a plurality of bearings (49) so as to be free to rotate relative to the first rotation shaft.

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding 16, Koide et al. disclose a case (45) accommodating the stator, a bearing supporting the first rotation shaft on the case and a bearing (49) supporting the second rotation shaft on the case.

8. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koide et al. in view of Kolomeitsev as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Satomi (pn. 5,418,413).

Koide et al. and Kolomeitsev disclose every aspect of claimed invention except for the first stator cores are equal to the second stator cores.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the first and second stator cores of Koide et al. being equal in number of cores as taught by Satomi in order to provide the cores with ease to assembly.

Regarding claim 13, Koide et al. (Fig. 20-21) disclose the first coils and the second coils are connected in parallel to the current control device (180).

Regarding claim 14. Kolomeitsev discloses the first coils and second coils are connected in series (col. 3, lines 40-42) to the current control device.

9. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koide et al. in view of Kolomeitsev as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Satake (pn. 4,785,213).

Application/Control Number: 09668348

Page 7

Art Unit: 2834

Koide et al. and Kolomeitsev disclose every aspect of claimed invention except for a first stator provided with a third number of first coils, a second stator provided with a fourth number of second coils, the third number and the fourth number being equal.

Satake discloses a first stator(25) provided with a third number (b, fig. Fig. 4) of first coils (23), a second stator (24) provided with a fourth number (b, fig. 4) of second coils (22), the third number and the fourth number being equal.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the first and second stator coils of Koide et al. and replace the third number coils and the fourth number coils being equal as taught by Satake to provide the stator coils with excellent torque characteristic and efficiency.

Regarding claim 18, Satake discloses the first coils and the second coils are connected in series to the current control device (a-b three phase of fig. 4).

Regarding claim 19, Koide et al. disclose first coils and the second coils are connected in parallel to the current control device (180).

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3431.

Application/Control Number: 09668348

Page 8

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0656.

Thanh Lam

Patent Examiner